

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/653,411	08/31/2000	Whonchee Lee	M4065.0361/P361	5349		
24998	7590 04/26/2002					
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER			
	2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526 NGUYEN, JOSEPH		JOSEPH H			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2815			
				DATE MAIL FD: 04/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

કે				سنوم
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/653,411	LEE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph Nguyen	2815	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	SS
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	ehruary 2002		
		is action is non-final.		
,	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ottors prospection as to the m	orito io
(closed in accordance with the practice under an of Claims			ents is
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 39-48 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
. 4a	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>39-48</u> is/are rejected.	·		
7) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are objected to			
8) C Application	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or name Papers	election requirement.		
	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
	e drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2000</u> is/are: a		cted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) <u></u> Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ o	disapproved by the Examiner.	
1	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)[] Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:			
1.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in A	application No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ity documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stag	je
	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		lication).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provinced translation of the foreign language provinced translation.			·
Attachment(s)				
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	
S. Patent and Trade TO-326 (Rev. 0		ion Summary	Part of Paper	 r No. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/653,411

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 39- 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawakubo et al.

Regarding claim 39, Kawakubo et al discloses on figure 4E a semiconductor device comprising a substrate 1 and at least one polished metal layer 13 formed over said substrate 1.

It should be noted that the term "electro-mechanical polished" merely recites product by process and does not structurally distinguish the metal layer from the structure taught by Kawakubo et al.

Regarding claim 40, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E the metal layer 13 comprises at least one metal selected from the group consisting of noble metals, noble metal alloys, refractory metals and refractory metal alloys (col. 8, line 36).

Regarding claim 41, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E the device comprises a capacitor with at least one electro mechanical polished metal layer 13.

Regarding claim 42, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E the electro-mechanical polished metal layer 13 is bottom electrode of said capacitor.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,411

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 43, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E a semiconductor device comprising a bottom electrode 13 formed over a substrate 1; an insulating layer 14 formed over the bottom electrode; and a top electrode 15 formed over the insulating layer 14, wherein at least one electrode surface comprises an electro mechanical polished surface.

Regarding claim 44, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E the capacitor is a MIM capacitor.

Regarding claim 45, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E at least one electrode 13 comprises a metal selected from the group consisting of noble metals, noble metal alloys, refractory metals and refractory metal alloys (col. 8, line 36).

Regarding claim 46, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E at least one electrode surface is a surface of the bottom electrode 13.

Regarding claim 47, Kawakubo et al disclose on figure 4E the bottom electrode 13 comprises a platinum electrode (col. 8, line 36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakubo et al in view of Sandhu et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,411

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 48, Kawakubo et al disclose substantially all the structure set forth in the claimed invention except a memory device electrically coupled to a processor. However, Sandhu et al disclose on figure 20 a memory device 1100 electrically coupled to a processor. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kawakubo et al by having a memory device electrically coupled to a processor for the purpose of improving the performance of the integrated circuits.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/20/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Firstly, the claims do not limit electro mechanical polishing to a specific manner explained in page 5 of the amendment. Secondly, even if mechanical polishing or chemical mechanical polishing of any amount causes "smearing of platinum", it is unclear or not understood how " a different surface layer at the metallic surface" is achieved or avoided since the material is the same. Nevertheless, the limitation of electro mechanical is still considered product by process.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2815

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JN April 24, 2002

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5